

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**Serial No.** ..... 10/749,125  
**Filing Date**.....Dec 30, 2003  
**First Named Inventor**.....Gregory D. Swedberg  
**Assignee**.....Microsoft Corporation  
**Group Art Unit** .....2179  
**Examiner**..... Thuy T. Osberg  
**Attorney's Docket No.** ..... MS1-1750US  
**Title**.....  
INTEROPERABILITY BETWEEN IMMEDIATE MODE AND COMPOSITIONAL MODE  
WINDOWS

**RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED  
2/1/2007**

To: Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

From: Kasey Christie (Tel. 509-324-9256; Fax 509-323-8979)  
**Customer No. 22801**

**Brief Summary of Selected Substantive Portions of this Response**

In a telephone discussion on (4/11/2007) with Examiner Osberg, the Applicant pointed out key differences between the claims and primary cited art ("Gershony"), for example, the use of a "token", a "dummy or mock token", and the relationship between "first" and "second" graphics systems. The Examiner maintained her original rejections despite Applicant's identification of these key differences. Consequently, Applicant submits formal argument herein directed towards those key differences.

This brief summary is not intended to represent the Applicant's full response to the Action. Rather, it is merely a brief summary of selected substantive portions of the response herein.